Decision 04-04-039 April 22, 2004

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of the City of San Diego for an order authorizing modification of an existing at-grade crossing on three light rail vehicle tracks and one heavy rail track of the Metropolitan Transit Development Board, and one heavy rail track of the Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company, at Park Boulevard, in the City of San Diego, San Diego County, California.

Application 01-09-012 (Filed September 12, 2001)

#### **DECISION CORRECTING ERRORS IN D.03-12-018**

### 1. Summary

This decision removes two erroneous citations in Decision (D.) 03-12-018.

## 2. Background

In D.03-12-018, the Commission granted the City of San Diego (the City) authorization to (1) close an existing at-grade railroad crossing at Eighth Avenue and Harbor Drive and (2) construct an at-grade railroad crossing at the new intersection of Park Boulevard and Harbor Drive in San Diego, California. Due to the high level of light rail traffic and the presence of heavy rail traffic, we also imposed significant safety conditions, comprehensive monitoring, and long-term safety planning.

One safety requirement is quad gates, which control traffic on lanes entering and exiting a crossing. In Ordering Paragraph (OP) 2, we directed the

171478 - 1 -

City to comply with General Order (GO) 75-C and Resolution SX-41. However, the resolution has not been adopted by the Commission and, therefore, the citation to the resolution should not been included in the decision. Rail Safety and Carrier Division staff (RCES) noted this error in their comments on the proposed decision. Because GO 75-C, Section 6.71 contains the needed specifications for quad gates, we can remove the erroneous citation and rely solely on GO 75-C.

In OP 4, we ordered the City to submit its safety proposals as required by GO 88. That GO, however, applies to modifications to existing crossings only, and not new crossings, such as Harbor Drive. The purpose of the filing requirement in OP 4 was to facilitate collaboration between the City and our staff on the final plans for all safety features at the crossing. The compliance filing required by OP 8, and the meet and confer requirement imposed in OP 7, will achieve the purpose of the GO 88 process. Therefore, we can delete the erroneous citation without affecting the outcome of the decision. RCES also brought this error to our attention.

#### 3. Discussion

The Commission is authorized to modify its decisions, with notice to the parties, as provided in Public Utilities Code Section 1708. Good cause has been shown to make the modifications as discussed above and we will do so.

# 4. Assignment of Proceeding

Geoffrey F. Brown is the Assigned Commissioner and Maribeth A. Bushey is the assigned Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding.

#### 5. Comments on Draft Decision

The draft decision of the ALJ in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Pub. Util. Code § 311(g)(1) and Rule 77.7 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure. No comments were filed.

### **Findings of Fact**

- 1. D.03-12-018 contains two erroneous citations that can be removed without changing the outcome of the decision.
  - 2. GO 75-C, Section 6.71, specifies the components of a quad gate system.
- 3. The meet and confer and compliance filing requirements in OPs 7 and 8 achieve the purposes of a filing pursuant to GO 88.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

D.03-12-018 should be corrected to remove two erroneous citations that do not change the outcome of the decision.

#### ORDER

Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

- 1. Ordering Paragraphs 2 and 4 of Decision (D.) 03-12-018 shall be modified by deleting the text as indicated below:
  - 2. The Park Boulevard crossing shall be fitted with four quadrant gates including a vehicle detection system as specified in General Order 75-C and the Commission's Resolution SX 41, Guidelines for the Use of Four Quadrant Gates.
  - 4. The Park Boulevard crossing shall include interconnected traffic signals and presignals at nearby intersections, which shall be preempted by the railroad warning devices. The City shall submit its plans for approval by Staff pursuant to General Order 88.

# A.01-09-012 ALJ/MAB/sid

### A.01-09-012 ALJ/MAB/sid

- 2. In all other respects, D.03-12-018 shall remain unchanged.
- 3. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated April 22, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY
President
CARL W. WOOD
LORETTA M. LYNCH
GEOFFREY F. BROWN
SUSAN P. KENNEDY
Commissioners